Government is aware of the Press Reports about the significant progress made by 14 member European Team in the field of Nuclear Fusion.

(b) Though the scientific report about this is not yet out, it appears from Press Reports that 1.7 MW of Thermonuclear Power has been obained from the Tokamak Machine for two seconds.

Containing Radiation Effects

3044. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Will the PRIME MNIISTER be pleased to state what action Government are taking to contain radiation effects on the population of areas which are near the atotmic power stations in the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): The design, construction and operation of nuclear power plants in India are such that the small amount of radioactivity which are allowed to come out of the nuclear reactors are well within the values permitted by the Atomic Energy Regulatory Board. The radiation exposure due to the releases from nuclear reactors are too small to be estimated. At these levels, population near the aomic power stations will not suffer from any radiation effects. An extensive radiation monitoring programme which include measurements of external radiation, doses and evaluation of radioactivity in samples of food, water, air and soil is in place. This continuous monitoring ensures that the radioactive releases do not exceed the levels prescribed by Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERS).

Re-presentation of SC/ST and Minorities in Selection Committee/Boards

3045. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the PRIME MNISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the instructions issued in August, 1990 for inclusion of representatives of Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes and Minorities in the selection Committees/Boards has been implemented in his Ministry;

(b) if so, what are the details of such bodies and organisation under his Ministry where the instructions have been implemented;

(c) what are the names of the bodies plemented so far alongwith not been implemention so far along-with the reasons therefor; and

(d) what steps are being taken by Govetrnment in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) Information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.

Fixation of forumla prices

3046. SHRIMATI RATAN KUMARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 662 given in the Rajya Sabha on 5th September, 1991 and state:

(a) when did BICP seek clarification in regard to fixation of formulation prices based on notified price of Analgin and when Government provided;

(b) what was the sale price of Analgin from 1987-88 to 1990-91;

(c) whether it is a fact that neither BICP verified the purchase price of Analgin nor fixed the prices of finished medicines based on purchase price of analgin;

(d) wheher it is a fact that Rs. 15 cores were passed on to one major producer due to nexus between producer, his department and BICP;

(e) what steps have been taken to investigate the matter;

(f) wheher it is a fact that Government have given in writing to BICP that Ministry would not accept any of their recommendations before prior consultation with them; and

(g) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The notified pice of bulk drug Analgin has since been revised upwards which is more than the pre-reduced notified price.

(b) Government do not monitor sale prices of bulk drugs.

(c) to (e) While fixing the prices of ceiling packs of formulations, the notified price of the bulk drug is taken into account. In the case of ceiling packs of formulations based on bulk drug Analgin, the same criterion has been adopted.

(f) No, Sir.

(g) Does not arise.

Case of M/s. Hoechst

3047. SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1629 given in Rajya Sabha on 12th September, 1991 and state:

(a) what are the salient features of Bombay High Court Judgement in the case of M|s. Hoechst;

(b) whether court has piven any schedule time;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether it is a fact that Government did not stick to schedule time;

(e) if so, the reasons therefore;

(f) whether it is a fact that the order of High Court favours the company;

(g) what is the reaction of Government thereto; and

(h) what is the stage at which the matter stands now and by when final orders would be issued to the company in the matter of recovery?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (g) A copy of the Bombay High Court's Order is enclosed in the Statement (*See* below).

(h) The process of collection of data and its examination has already started and will be completed as soon as possible.

Statement

High Court

O.O.C.J.

Writ Petition No. 2629 of 1990

Hoechst (India) Limited & Anr
Petitioners

V/s

Union of India & Others.
Respondents

Corum: Pendse & Jhunjhunwala JJ
Date: 8th March, 1991

MINUTES OF THE ORDER

1. Chamber Summons allowed. Petition to be amended accordingly. Amendments to be carried out within two weeks. thereof.

2. The Notices mentioned in prayer clause (a) (iv) as amended will be treated by the parties as Show Cause Notices and the Petiioners shall reply to the same giving particulars called for and with liberty to them to raise all contentions including those raised in the Petition within a period of eight weeks.

3. At the request of the Petitioners the Government will furnish particulars consistent with the judgement of the Supreme Court in Cyanamid's case within a period of four weeks from the date on which the Petitioners file their replies referred to in Clause 2.

4. The Respondents will dispose of the matter and tentatively determine the liability, if any, due from the Petitioners, within eight weeks thereafer.

5. Thereafter, the Government shall hear the Petitioners within four weeks of the above and pass speaking orders, which orders if adverse to the Petitioners shall not be enforced against the Petitioners for a period of eight weeks to enable the Petitioners to adopt such proceedings as they may be entitled to in law and with liberty to them to raise all contentions including those raised in the Petition.